# Fosfomycin Versus Nitrofurantoin Efficacy Against Multi-Drug Resistant Gram Negative Urinary Pathogens.

#### Nadia Wali<sup>1</sup>, Tariq Butt<sup>2</sup>, Usman Wali<sup>3</sup>, Zakir Hussain<sup>4</sup>

Department of Pathology, Akhtar Saeed Medical and Dental College, Lahore;
 Department of Pathology, Foundation Medical College, Rawalpindi;
 Department of Medical Sciences. Assiniboine Community College, Manitoba, Canada;
 Department of Microbiology department, Al Sayed Hospital (Pvt) Ltd, The KidneyCentre, Rawalpindi.

#### Abstract

**Background:** To compare antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of fosfomycin and nitrofurantoin against multi-drug resistant gram negative uropathogens.

**Methods**: In this descriptive study identification of 200 isolates of gram negative bacteria was done by using standard microbiological techniques and the antimicrobial susceptibility was carried out by employing Kirby-Bauer disc diffusion technique. The susceptibility pattern of isolates was then recorded in frequency and percentages.

**Results:** Out of total 200 urinary samples, 97 were multi-drug resistant (MDR) and 103 were non multidrug resistant gram negative bacteria. Both MDR and non MDR Escherichia coli(E. coli) followed by Klebsiella pneumoniae(K. pneumoniae) were more commonly isolated uropathogens. MDR E. coli was more susceptible to fosfomycin (98%) as compared to nitrofurantoin (81%). Similarly, for MDR K. pneumoniae, same results of better susceptibility of fosfomycin as compared to nitrofurantoin were observed. Maximum resistance was observed in 4 to 5 drugs in MDR E.coli and K. pneumonia and the most predominant resistant pattern was observed in ampicillin and cephalosporins.

**Conclusion:** Fosfomycin holds much better in vitro efficacy as compared to nitrofurantoin against MDR E. coli, K. pneumoniae and P. stuartii.

Key Words:Enterobacteriaceae, Fosfomycin, Multidrug resistant, Nitrofurantoin.

# Introduction

Urinary tract infections (UTIs) are among the most commonly observed infections in clinical practice.<sup>1</sup>One of the most important challenges is to deal with recurrent UTI in women.<sup>2,3</sup> But, approximately one third or more of hospital-acquired infections are preventable.<sup>4</sup> The gram negative organisms which usually cause UTI include Escherichia coli (E. coli),

Proteus species, Klebsiella species, Citrobacter species and Pseudomonas aeruginosa.5 The development of antimicrobial resistance among various Gram-negative pathogens has been progressive. Another problem is of multi-drug resistance in Enterobacteriaceae causing urinary tract infections.6 Multi-drug resistance in gram negative isolates is defined as resistance to at least one agent in three or more than three antimicrobial categories.<sup>7</sup> Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole and ampicillin use in the past have remained choices for treating uncomplicated urinary tract infections which are now losing their efficacy.8The extended spectrum cephalosporins and quinolones which are used as firstline therapy have also shown emergence of resistance. The resistant genes have predominated among hospital-acquired organisms. In particular, CTX-M-15 is the most widespread and this  $\beta$ -lactamase has frequently been associated with uropathogenic E. coli clone.<sup>6</sup> As the agents commonly used to treat these pathogens have become outmoded. Of the few new drugs available, many have already become resistant.9Due to current situation, the use of fosfomycin and nitrofurantoin are returning, owing to its broad spectrum activity against both gram positive and Gram negative bacteria.<sup>10,11</sup>Fosfomycin is a unique antibiotic that is chemically different from any other known antibacterial agent. The drug is well tolerated and has a low incidence of harmful side-effects.<sup>12</sup> It has shown better in vitro activity against Extendedspectrum  $\beta$ -lactamase (ESBL) producing E. coli and Klebsiella pneumoniae(K. pneumoniae) with in particular good activity against ESBL producing urinary Enterobacteriaceae.13However, development of bacterial resistance under therapy is a frequent occurrence and makes fosfomycin unsuitable for prolonged therapy as regards severe infections.<sup>14</sup> Nitrofurantoin is bactericidal and its mechanism of action is unique from usual antimicrobials. It stops biochemical processes involving DNA and RNA synthesis by producing reactive intermediates as a result of reduction with bacterial flavoproteins and

finally cell wall synthesis also halts. It has particularly better activity against MDR urinary pathogens. Rare resistant mutants and its uncommon cross resistance with other antimicrobials makes worth its use in Gram negative urinary tract infections.<sup>15,16</sup> The global problem of accelerating antimicrobial resistance has revived interest in use of fosfomycin and nitrofurantoin more recently.

## **Patients and Methods**

This descriptive study was conducted in department Microbiology, Fauji Foundation Hospital, of Rawalpindi/Foundation University Medical College, Islamabad campus from January 2015 to October 2015. Total 200 urinary isolates were considered for study. All gram negative bacteria from urinary isolates received from Medicine, Surgery, Gynaecology/Obstetrics and Paediatric wards (indoor outdoor) and of Fauji Foundation Hospital, Rawalpindi were included in the study. All duplicate samples, patients already receiving antimicrobials for UTI or other ailment were excluded from the study. All gram negative urinary isolates were collected from the patients admitted in different units of Fauji Foundation Hospital, Rawalpindi. Urine specimens were inoculated on Cysteine Lactose Electrolyte Deficient (CLED) agar and incubated aerobically at 35 ± 2°C for 16 to 18 hours. Gram negative rods were identified by colony morphology, Gram staining, biochemical reactions and confirmed by API 20E. Antimicrobial susceptibility testing was performed by Kirby Bauer's disc diffusion method, according to guidelines published by the Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). All gram negative urinary isolates were tested by ampicillin (25 µg), ceftriaxone  $(30 \ \mu g)$ , aztreonam  $(30 \ \mu g)$ , gentamicin  $(10 \ \mu g)$ , amikacin (30 µg), ciprofloxacin (5 µg), imipenem (10 μg), nitrofurantoin (300 μg), fosfomycin (200 μg) and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole  $(1.25/23.75 \mu g)$  discs. Standard strain of Escherichia coli using American Type Culture Collection(ATCC 25922) was included in each batch of tests. Incubation was done at 35+ 2°C for 16-18 hours. All zone sizes were interpreted according to CLSI.17 Isolates showing resistance to at least one agent in three or more than three antimicrobial categories was considered as multidrug resistant (MDR).7

#### **Results**

Out of total 200 urinary isolates, 97 were MDR and 103 were non-MDR Gram negative bacteria. Highest

number of bacteria isolated was E. coli followed by *K*. pneumoniae and least isolated pathogen was Providencia stuartii (P. stuartii). Only E. coli(n= 89), K. pneumoniae(n= 4)and P. stuartii(n= 4) were isolated as MDR (Table 1).Overall nitrofurantoin showed maximum resistance in MDRP. stuartii(67%), K. pneumoniae(60%) and E. coli(19%) as compared to fosfomycin (Table 2).Percentage of resistance to three drugs was almost the same for MDR E. coli and MDR K. pneumoniae. Resistance to four to five drugs was maximum in MDR E. coli. Whereas, for six drugs the resistance was maximum inMDR K. pneumoniae as compared to MDR E. coli (Table3).

 Table 1: Distribution of MDR and non

 MDR Gram negative urinary isolates (n=200)

WIDK Grain negative urmary isolates (n=200)							
Uropathogens	All	Non- MDR	MDR				
	isolates	isolates	isolates				
	(n=200)	(n=103)	(n=97)				
E. coli	163	74 (37%)	89 44.5%)				
K. pneumoniae	19	15 (7.5%)	4 (2%)				
P. stuartii	6	2 (1%)	4 (2%)				
P. rettgeri	3	3(1.5%)	-				
Proteus mirabilis	5	5 (2.5%)	-				
C. freundii	4	4 (2%)	-				
Total	200	100%	-				

Table 2: Susceptibility pattern of MDR producing Gram negative rods against fosofomvcin and nitrofurantoin (n=97)

MDR	n	Fosfomycin		Nitrofurantoin		
producing						
Urinary		Susceptible	Resistant	Susceptible	Resistant	
isolates						
E. coli	89	87 (98%)	2 (2%)	72(81%)	17 (19%)	
Klebsiella	5	3(60%)	2 (40%)	2 (25%)	3 (60%)	
pneumonia		× ,	. ,	· · ·		
Providencias	3	2 (67%)	1 (33%)	1 (33%)	2(67%)	
Stuartii		. ,	. /	. ,	. ,	

Table 3. Multi drug resistance of urinary E. coli and K. pneumoniae to various antimicrobials

Number of	MDR E.	Predominant	MDR	Predominant			
antimicrobials	coli (n=89)	resistant	К.	resistant			
		pattern	pneumonia	pattern			
		(MDR E.	(n=9)	(MDR K.			
		coli)		pneumoniae)			
3 drugs	20%	AMP-CRO-	20%	AMP-CRO-			
U		CIP		GEN			
4-5 drugs	62%	AMP-CRO-	60%	AMP-CRO-			
		SXT-CIP-		ATM-AMK			
		GEN					
6 drugs	18%	AMK-SXT-	20%	AMP-IPM-			
0		CIP-GEN-		CRO-SXT-			
		CRO-		CIP-ATM			
		ATM-					

AMP- Ampicillin;CRO- Ceftriaxone;GEN- Gentamicin;SXT-Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole;CIP-Ciprofloxacin;AMK-Amikacin;IPM- Imipenem;ATM- Aztreonam

## Discussion

Urinary tract infection takes the second lead after respiratory tract infection in community acquired infections.<sup>18</sup>One of serious health problem is threatening rise in resistance to antimicrobials.<sup>19</sup>In our study, out of 97 MDR gram negative urinary isolates, multi-drug resistance in E. coli was predominant (44.5%) as compared to K. pneumoniae (2%). A study from Iran reported 50% MDR E. coli and 46.6% MDR which is more K. pneumoniae than our results.<sup>20</sup>.Another study conducted in USA shows almost similar results with high multi-drug resistance in E.coli (76%) as compared to K.pneumoniae(5%).<sup>21</sup> Similar group of bacteria reveals multi-drug resistance reported in Nepal, E. coli (74%) and K. pneumoniae (44%) and Ethiopia, E. coli (94.6%) and K. pneumoniae (80%).<sup>22,23</sup>The higher MDR rates in these previous studies may be due to genetic, geographical and social variations in different regions. However, unlike our study Khawcharoenporn et al isolated 6% of MDR Proteus mirabilis and Citrobacter species and only 2% of Providencia species.21

Better susceptibility results of fosfomycin in present study as compared to nitrofurantoin against MDR urinary E. coli, Klebsiella and P. stuartii have backed up the use of fosfomycin. About 98% of MDR E. coli isolates were susceptible to fosfomycin and 81% to nitrofurantoin in our study. These results coincided with a study conducted in Taiwan against MDR E.coli, where 95.5% and 75.1% isolates were susceptible to fosfomycin and nitrofurantoin respectively.24 Bano et al concluded maximum susceptibility to fosfomycin and nitrofurantoin (100%) against K. (100%)pneumoniae in a study conducted in Pakistan. Whereas, for E. coli, 89.28% and 96.43% of isolates were susceptible to fosfomycin and nitrofurantoin respectively.25On the contrary, a study conducted in Dera Ismael Khan showed fosfomycin susceptibility against E.coli (97.2%) was much better than K. pneumoniae (3.6%) which was in agreement to the findings of this present study.<sup>26</sup> Similar results of better susceptibility of fosfomycin against MDR E. coli and K. pneumoniae were again almost consistent with a study conducted by Liu et al.24 The resistant rates of fosfomycin and nitrofurantoin against E. coli were 0.3% and 4% respectively in a study conducted in Turkev.27

In present study, 20% of MDR E.coli isolates showed resistance to 3 drugs. Similar studies from Pakistan and Iran also showed 20% of isolates were resistant to less than 5 drugs and 3 drugs respectively. <sup>28,29</sup>In our study, maximum resistance was observed in 4-5 drugs

(62%) against MDR E. coli with ampicillin-cefriaxonetrimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole-ciprofloxacin-

gentamicin being the predominant resistant pattern. A study from Iran revealed the trend of maximum antimicrobial resistance was more in favor of 3 drugs against MDR E. coli namely ampicillin, tetracycline trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole.<sup>29</sup>This and high resistance pattern in our set up may be due to over the counter use of antimicrobials. A study from Sudan reported MDR E. coli resistance to more than 8 drugs with most frequent resistance pattern of ampicillin, amoxicillin, tetracycline, trimethoprimsulfamethoxazole and sulfonamide.<sup>30</sup>As regards, MDR K. pneumoniae, more resistance was observed in 6 drugs in which ampicillin and ceftriaxone were observed as the most predominant resistant pattern. The resistance in other classes was almost equal in number. There is not enough data available to support the phenotypic resistance pattern of antimicrobials against MDR K. pneumoniae. However, a study conducted in Morocco, showed maximum resistance in MDR K. pneumoniae to amoxicillin-clavulinic acid followed by trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole.<sup>31</sup>

Several studies on fosfomycin from different parts of the world have shown that resistance to this drug is still very low.<sup>26</sup>It has broad spectrum activity against E. coli, Citrobacter spp, Klebsiellaspp, Proteus spp., Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Serratia spp and Salmonella spp.It has effective tissue penetration and single oral dose with less side effects as compared to nitrofurantoin.<sup>32</sup>Asthese findings are in vitro, more clinical trials are needed to support both drugs efficacy in vivo. Still more research is needed on the use of fosfomycin for complicated urinary tract infections and non-urinary tract infections which has not been extensively evaluated in our setup.

## Conclusion

Fosfomycin reserves its in vitro activity against MDR E. coli, K. Pneumonia and P. Stuartii when compared to nitrofurantoin. Fosfomycinhas shown in particular potent results against MDR E.coli. Keeping in view, its better susceptibility results and convenient use, it can be used as an empirical therapy in treating uncomplicated UTIs in our setup.

## References

- 1. Hooton TM. Clinical practice.Uncomplicated urinary tract infection.N Engl J Med, 2012; 366:1028-37.
- Flower A, Bishop FL, Lewith G. How women manage recurrent urinary tract infections: an analysis of postings on a popular web forum. BMC Family Practice 2014; 15:162-64.

- 3. Renard J, Ballarini S, Mascarenhas T. Recurrent lower urinary tract infections have a detrimental effect on patient quality of life. Infect Dis Ther 2015; 4:125–35.
- 4. Yokoe DS, Mermel LA, Anderson DJ. A compendium of strategies to prevent healthcare-associated infections in hospitals.Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2008; 29:S12-S21.
- Parkash D and Sexena RS. Distribution and antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of bacterial pathogens causing urinary tract infection in urban community.ISRN Microbiology 2013;49629,http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2013/749629
- Nicolas-Chanoine MH, Blanco J, Leflon-Guibout V. Intercontinental emergence of Escherichia coli clone 025:H4-ST131 producing CTX-M-15.J Antimicrob Chemother 2008; 61:273-81.
- 7. Magiorakos AP, Srinivasan A, Carey RB, Carmeli Y. Multidrug resistant, extensively drug-resistant and pan-drug resistant bacteria: An international expert proposal for interim standard definitions for acquired resistance. Clin Microbiol infect 2012; 18:268-81.
- 8. Nickel JC. Urinary Tract Infections and Resistant Bacteria.Rev Urol 2007;9: 78–80.
- 9. Pallett A and Hand K. Complicated urinary tract infections: practical solutions for the treatment of multi resistant Gramnegative bacteria. J Antimicrob Chemother 2010; 65:25-33.
- 10. Raz R. Fosfomycin: an old—new antibiotic. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2012; 56: 5744–48.
- 11. Hendlin D, Stapley EO, Jackson M, Wallick H. Phosphonomycin. A new antibiotic produced by strains of Streptomyces. Science 1969; 166:122–23.
- 12. Patel SS, Balfour JA, Bryson HM.Fosfomycin-tromethamine: A review of its antibacterial activity, pharmacokinetic properties and therapeutic efficacy as a single-dose oral treatment for acute uncomplicated lower urinary tract infections. Drugs 1997; 53: 637–56.
- 13. Neuner EA, Sekeres J, Hall JS, Duin DV. Experience with Fosfomycin for Treatment of Urinary Tract Infections Due to Multidrug-Resistant Organisms. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2012; 56: 5744–48.
- 14. Falagas ME, Giannopoulou KP, Kokolakis GN. Fosfomycin: use beyond urinary tract and gastrointestinal infections. Clin Infect Dis, 2008; 46: 1069–77.
- 15. Garau J. Other antimicrobials of interest in the era of extended-spectrum  $\beta$ -lactamases: fosfomycin, nitrofurantoin and tigecycline. ClinMicrobiolInfec, 2008;14:198-202.
- 16. Ashraf V and Satti L.In-vitro efficacy of nitrofurantoin, ciprofloxacin andcotrimoxazole against various urinary isolates. Gomal J Med Sci 2012; 12:201-04.
- 17. Clinical and Laboratory Standard Institute (CLSI). Performance standard for antimicrobial susceptibility testing; twenty-second informational supplement M100-S22. Wayne: CLSI; 2012.
- 18. Malik N, Ahmed M,Rehman M. Prevalence and antimicrobial susceptibility of uropathogens in patients reporting to a tertiary care facility. J Microbiol Antimicrob 2015; 7:6-12.

- 19. Fridkin S and Srinivasan A. Implementing a Strategy for Monitoring Inpatient Antimicrobial Use Among Hospitals in the United States. Clin Infect Dis 2014; 58:401-06.
- Moini AS, Soltani B, Ardakani AT, Moravveji A.Multidrug-Resistant Escherichia coli and Klebsiella pneumoniae isolated from patients in Kashan, Iran. Jundishapur J Microbiol 2015; 8: e27517.
- 21. Khawcharoenporn T, Vasoo S,Singh K. Urinary tract Infections due to multidrug-resistant Enterobacteriaceae: Prevalence and risk factors in a Chicago Emergency Department. Emergency Medicine International 2013; 2013, Article ID 258517, 7 pages.
- 22. Dromigny JA, Ndoye B, Macondo EA, Nabeth P. Increasing prevalence of antimicrobial resistance among Enterobacteriaceaeuropathogens in Dakar, Senegal: a multicenter study.Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 2003; 47:595-600.
- 23. Biadglegne F and Abera B. Antimicrobial resistance of bacterial isolates from urinary tract infections at FelgeHiwot Referral Hospital, Ethiopia. Ethiop J Health Dev 2009;23:236–38.
- 24. Liu HY, Lin HC, Lin YC, Yu SH.Antimicrobial susceptibilities of urinary extended spectrum beta-lactamase-producing Escherichia coli and Klebsiella pneumoniae to fosfomycin and nitrofurantoin. J Microbiol Immunol Infect 2011; 44: 364-68.
- 25. 25. Bano S, Tunio SA, Memon AA, Detho H. Evaluation of antibiotic susceptibility patterns of uropathogens circulating in Hyderabad, Pakistan. Khyber Med Univ J 2014;6: 110-15.
- 26. Ashraf V, Satti L, Altaf M, Ilyas-Tahirkheli MU. In vitro efficacy of fosfomycin against various urinary isolates.Gomal J Med Sci 2013; 11(1): 16-19.
- 27. Arslan H, Azap OK, Ergonu O, Timurkaynak F. Risk factors for ciprofloxacin resistance among Escherichia coli strains isolated from community-acquired urinary tract infections in Turkey. J AntimicrobChemother 2005:914–18.
- 28. Tanvir R, Hafeez R, Hasnain S. Prevalence of multiple drug resistant Escherichia coli in patients of urinary tract infection.Pakistan J Zool, 2012; 44: 707-12.
- 29. Moniri R, Khorshidi A, Akbari H. Emergence of multidrug resistant E. coli isolated from urinary tract infections. Iran J PublHlth 2003;32:42-46.
- 30. Ahmed AA, Osman H, Mansour AM, Musa HA. Antimicrobial agent resistance in bacterial isolates from patients with diarrhea and urinary tract infection in Sudan.Am J trop Med Hyg; 63:259-263.
- 31. Bouamri MC, Arsalane L, Kamouni YE. Antimicrobial susceptibility of urinary Klebsiella pneumonia and emergence of carbapenem-resistant strains. Afr J Urol, 2015; 21: 36–40.
- 32. Ruxer J, Możdżan M, Siejka A. Fosfomycin and nitrofurantoin in the treatment of recurrent urinary tract infections in type 2 diabetic women: a preliminary report.Diabetologia Doś WKlin, 2006; 6:277–82.