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Abstract

Objective: To know the rate and predictors for derecognition/demotion in HEC-indexed Y, and Z categories health science journals (HSJs)

Methods: A list of HEC-indexed Y and Z categories HSJs was downloaded from the official website of HEC, Pakistan on 29 July 2019. General information like the type of publisher, specialty, sponsoring body, origin city, and sector (Armed Forces/Civilian) of the derecognized or demoted journals were noted. Fundamental issues like HEC’s procedures were resolved using literature review, contacts to the affected journals, and peer-to-peer discussions.

Results: Of 50 journals, 25 (50%) were found against each of the Y and Z categories. Fourteen (56% of the total) Y category journals faced adversity in the form of derecognition (n=5) or demotion (n=9). Whereas, the rate increased remarkably to 64% (n=16) in the Z category. Similarly, the high rate was noticed in specialty-specific journals (67.9%, n=19). A journal under private sponsorship had twofold more chance of the adversity (95% CI: 1.003-2.918, p=0.05) than public-sponsored journals (75 vs 50%, respectively). Most of the affected Z category journals (n=13, 81.3%) had their first registration with HEC before 2015.

Conclusion: The policy of HEC for derecognition/demotion of HSJs needs extensive review to promote medical publications.
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Introduction

A health science journal supports clinical experts in daily practice while researchers1 in further investigations provided it meets the criteria of quality assurance. In Pakistan, the assurance is regulated by the Higher Education Commission (HEC). Unfortunately, its journal evaluation criteria are unable to address the reservations of the PAME (Pakistan Association of Medical Editors) despite periodic HEC – PAME meetings.2,3 The job of chief medical editor especially of Y or Z category journal is highly diversified as it deals with the simple pressure of the authors for publication to complex requirements of the HEC for evaluation. The HEC asks for regular submission of plagiarism and peer review reports besides a list of editorial board members4 from the editors who are already under stress from delayed peer review reports, modern information technologies, and unavailability of costly software (e.g. XML file developer).1,4-6 Free of cost publication of articles poses economic pressure on already struggling journals.

The derecognition, or demotion followed by derecognition of the health science journal (HSJ) per its medical publication journey forever/indefinite period.2,7 Usually, facts and figures (provided by the affected journal) fail in a reversal of the adversity. Consequently, the journal becomes incredible among the author community. Just like the bad impacts of demotion5 of an employee on its fellow workers, the adversity develops a sense of uncertainty among contemporary medical journals. What can be said on finding the adversities in 60% (30 of 50) Y and Z category journals.9

Published literature on roles of HEC e.g. digital library10 or improvement in the quality of biomedical journals6 can easily be accessed online. However, authors of the present study couldn’t find a single paper on derecognition, demotion, or demotion followed by derecognition HEC-indexed Y and Z category HSJs. Finding the gap, the present study was designed. The objective of the paper was to see the rate and predictors for adversities against the HEC-indexed Y and Z category HSJs. The research will divert the attention of HEC towards the seriousness of the issue.

Methodology

The present descriptive study was conducted in July 2019 at a research centre “The soft solution”, Kharian, Distt. Gujrat, Pakistan after getting the authorization from the centre ethic committee. The committee monitored the study as per mandate in a published paper11 on ethical approval. A list of HEC-indexed Y and Z category HSJs9 (Retrieved on 29 July 2019) was downloaded from its official website. The printed version was carefully analyzed for recognition, derecognition, demotion, or demotion followed by derecognition status against each journal. Similarly, baseline information like publishers (institute/non-institute), specialty (yes/no), sponsorship (public/private), origin city (Karachi, Islamabad/other) and sector (Armed Forces/Civilian-backed) were recorded. The practice was repeated thrice to eliminate any chance of error. Fundamental issues like HEC’s procedures2 were resolved using literature review, contacts to the affected journals, and P-2-P (peer-to-peer) discussions.

The risk estimates were calculated using a 2x2 crosstabulation and chi-squared test on publication adversities. The value of p (<0.05) was taken as statistically significant in the test. The data was processed in SPSS ver. 25 (Windows 2007).

Results

Of 50 HEC-indexed health science journals, 25 were found in each of the Y and Z categories as per the online list of HEC on its legitimate website (retrieved: 29 July 2019). On analysis, 14 (56%) Y category journals were found in the adversity zone i.e. five derecognized (Sr. No 41, 44, 52-53, & 56) and nine demoted (Sr. No 6-7, 9, & 21-26) as shown in Table I. However, the rate of adversity increased remarkably to 64% (n=16) in the Z category including an additional type of adversity called demotion followed by derecognition. Similarly, 19 (67.9% of total 28) specialty-specific e.g. cardiology or dentistry journals were under adverse conditions.

Data in Table 2 indicate risk estimates using 2x2 crosstabulation and Pearson chi-squared test on coded information. The adversity had an insignificant association with all the independent variables i.e. publisher, specialty, sponsorship, origin city, or sector (p>0.05). However, a journal under private sponsorship had approximately twofold more chance
of adversity (95% CI: 1.003-2.918, \( p=0.05 \)) than public-sponsored journals (75 vs 50%, respectively).

The bar diagram (Figure 1) shows the rate of non affected/affected Z-ranked HSJs with reference to the year of first registration with HEC. Most of the affected (derecognized or demoted) journals i.e. 13 (81.3% of 16) got their initial registration before 2015 leaving only 3 (18.7%) during 2015 or later on. However, non-affected journals showed an inverse trend i.e. only two (out of total 9) journals’ particulars reveal IDR (Initial Date of Registration) in a period before 2015.

Figure 1: Rate of Z-ranked journals with respect to year of first registration with HEC. Affected (derecognized/demoted/demoted followed by derecognized by the HEC, Pakistan).
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Table 1: The Y and Z category journals facing adversity with HEC (N = 14 for Y and 16 for Z)

Overall adversity rate = 56% (Y category), 64% (Z category); Adversity rate for specialty specific journals = 67.9% (n = 19)
Nonsatisfaction of the QC division, HEC after evaluation of the document-supported information, editing wing, and open/closed peer reviews besides coherence with IT and International indexations is more probably the predictor for the adversity. On the other side, more chance of the adversity in the privately sponsored journals might be the consequence of some lacunae in attraction for the public. A study has highlighted such a trend using the academic field of study as a moderator. Derecognition or demotion at starting the Z level of the publication journey is a stressful event(s). Although, the concept of demotion can be cited from literature demotion from Y to Z (in the present study) is generally perceived as an extraordinary act. Similarly, the demoted journal faces negative organizational consequences just like a worker of organization in terms of finance and integrity.

### Discussion

The Y and Z categories of non-predatory HSJs best suite to medical professionals striving for new appointment/promotion provided recognition by PM & DC. Placement of substantial numbers, 30 (60%, N=50) in derecognized/demoted list on revised criteria of HEC is a matter of concern in medical journalism of Pakistan. It has created a sense of insecurity and behavioral reactions e.g. exit and adaptation in the affected journals similar to the cost-cutting of pension rights for an employee. However, rebound from demotion to upgrading in one Y-ranked journal after evaluation of Application Form is similar to rebounding from dishonesty on ethical programming or inclination of clients on marketing. Reciprocal to it, demotion followed by derecognition in some of the Z-ranked journals may lead to extinction from the medical world. This is analogous to the possibility of termination of business on unremitting loss under an agreement. Derecognition or demotion in 67.9% (19 out of 28) specialty-specific journals seems to be resultant of many factors including compatibility with IT developments, injustice from medical editors, and unjustified pressure from the authors. Finding an equal likelihood of publication adversity (i.e. demotion or derecognition) with reference to publisher, specialty, origin city, or sector is simply a coincidence.
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